1 IN UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 2 **Civil Division** 3 4 DR. JUDY MIKOVITS 140 Acacia Ave, #5 5 Carlsbad CA 92008 6 Plaintiff, 7 Civil Action No. v. 8 Complaint for Violations 31 U.S.C. THE WHITTEMORE PETERSON 9 3730(h) (False Claims Act Retaliatio INSTITUTE 10 University of Nevada, Reno MS 0552 1664 N. Virginia St. 11 Reno, NV 89557-0552 12 Defendant. 13 14 obidinal **15** INTRODUCTION 16 Plaintiff Dr. Judy Mikovits, individually, on her own behalf, files this 1. 17 complaint against Defendant the Whittemore Peterson Institute (WPI) to recover 18 damages, penalties, and attorney's fees for violations of the federal False Claims Act 19 anti-retaliation provisions, 31 U.S.C. §§ 3730(h). 20 Mikovits began working for WPI in 2006. 2. 21 WPI is a research institute founded by Harvey and Annette Whittemore to 3. 22 study neuroimmune diseases, in particular Chronic Fatigue Immune Dysfunction 23 Syndrome (CFIDS). 24 In or around the summer of 2011, Dr. Mikovits discovered that one of the 4. 25 researchers at WPI, Vincent Lombardi, had been using federally-funded research 26 materials for use in research for a for-profit entity owned by the Whittemores, VIPDx. 27

1	5.	Dr. Mikovits informed Lombardi and the Whittemores about the
2	perceived mi	sappropriation of federal funds.

- 3 6. Dr. Mikovits demanded that Lombardi cease the misappropriation of
- 4 these resources, and refused to provide these resources to him.
- 5 7. Dr. Mikovits also co-authored a paper asserting that product of the
- 6 Whittemores' for-profit entity, VIPDx, was based on contaminated research.
- 7 8. Shortly after reporting these problems, Mikovits was terminated on
- 8 September 29, 2011.
- 9. Within weeks of her termination, on November 18, Mikovits was arrested
- 10 on criminal charges for alleged failure to hand over documents to which WPI claimed
- 11 title.
- 12 10. Mikovits was held in jail without bail until November 22, 2014 for being a
- 13 "fugitive from justice."
- 14 11. Despite Mikovits's incarceration, the Whittemores and WPI pressed
- 15 forward with civil claims against Mikovits, resulting in an injunction requiring Mikovits
- 16 to hand over the documents that precipitated the arrest.
- 17 12. As a result of failure to comply with the order because of concerns for the
- 18 safety of patient data, Dr. Mikovits lost the civil case on default judgment.
- 19 13. In the resulting bankruptcy proceeding, WPI successfully claimed that
- 20 Mikovits owed the Institute \$5.5 million.
- 21 14. The set of proceedings WPI commenced against Dr. Mikovits were
- 22 intended to retaliate against Mikovits because of her role in furthering a potential qui
- 23 tam proceeding, and seeking to stop perceived fraud against the government.
- 24 15. The proceedings commenced and prosecuted by WPI and the
- 25 Whittemores succeeded in blackballing and bankrupting Mikovits.

1	16.	This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action under 28 U.S.C.
2	§ 1331 and 3	1 U.S.C. § 3732(a).
3	17.	This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants pursuant to 31
4	U.S.C. § 3732	(a) because Defendants transact business in this judicial district.
5	18.	Venue is proper in this Court under 28 U.S.C. §1391(c) and 1395(a), and 31
6	U.S.C. § 3732	(a) because Plaintiff is a resident of this district and because Defendants
7	transact busi	ness within this judicial district.
8		
9		PARTIES
10		
11	The Whitten	ore Peterson Institute and the Whittemores
12	19.	Harvey Whittemore and Annette Whittemore are well-known wealthy
13	socialites in	the state of Nevada.
14	20.	Harvey Whittemore is widely considered one of the most influential
15	citizens of N	evada.
16	21.	Harvey Whittemore's is also a prolific fundraiser for Nevada politicians.
17	22.	In addition to this, Harvey Whittemore is a lawyer who was a lobbyist for
18	the gaming i	ndustry in Nevada, as well as the petrochemical industry.
19	23.	Annette Whittemore is a socialite known for throwing lavish, well-
20	attended par	ties.
21	24.	The Whittemore Peterson Institute is an organization founded by the
22	Whittemores	s to study a condition known as Chronic Fatigue Immune Dysfunction
23	Syndrome (C	CFIDS).
24	25.	CFIDS is poorly understood, but is the term for a set of symptoms
25	including m	alaise after exertion; unrefreshing sleep, generalized muscle and joint pain,
26	sore throat, a	abnormal headaches, cognitive difficulties, chronic and severe mental and

27 physical exhaustion, and other symptoms in a previously healthy and active person.

1	26.	The Whittemores have a	daughter named	Andrea who	suffers from
---	-----	------------------------	----------------	------------	--------------

- 2 (CFIDS).
- 3 27. Andrea Whittemore is severely impacted by the condition.
- 4 28. Harvey Whittemore and Annette Whittemore sought a cure for their
- 5 daughter's illness.
- 6 29. Mr. and Mrs. Whittemore decided to endow the University of Nevada
- 7 with enough funds to open a research laboratory to research treatments and cures for
- 8 CFIDS.
- 9 30. Together, with another prominent doctor named Peterson, the
- 10 Whittemores founded the Whittemore Peterson Institute (WPI).
- 11 31. WPI is a non-profit 501(c)(3) organization at the University of Nevada.
- 12 32. Annette Whittemore was the president and CEO of WPI.
- 13 33. Harvey Whittemore was also heavily involved with the administration of
- 14 the organization.

16 Dr. Judy Mikovits

- 17 34. The Whittemores tapped plaintiff, Dr. Judy Mikovits, to head up the
- 18 research of WPI.
- 19 35. Dr. Mikovits had more than 20 years' experience at NIH and was the CSO
- **20** of a biotech company in Santa Barbara, CA.
- 21 36. Dr. Mikovits was well-known and highly regarded as an immunologist
- 22 and virologist, developing cancer therapies targeting viral causes of immune deficiency.
- 23 37. WPI hired Dr. Mikovits as the research director.
- 24 38. Dr. Mikovits was the only principal investigator at the institute.
- 25 39. Dr. Mikovits did most of the Institutes's work, including writing and
- 26 winning grants to fund the research.

1	FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS		
2	Dr. Mikovit	ts publishes research on XMRV	
3	40.	In 2009 Dr. Mikovits and her colleague, Dr. Frank Ruscetti isolated a	
4	retrovirus k	nown as XMRV (Xenotropic murine leukemia virus-related virus) from	
5	humans for	the first time and associated it with CFIDS,	
6	41.	Dr. Mikovits's research was published in the Journal, Science.	
7	42.	This paper was the subject of many international news articles due to the	
8	potential im	pact for those suffering from CFIDS and related problems.	
9	43.	Those suffering from CFIDS and other diseases started to contact WPI	
10	looking hop	ing for more and better information on the disease.	
11			
12	The Whitter	nores commercialize an XMRV diagnostic test	
13	44.	After the publication of Dr. Mikovits's work on XMRV, the Whittemores	
14	developed a	nd commercialized a diagnostic test for XMRV.	
15	45.	They sold this test from a Whittemore-owned company known as VIPDx	
16	(Viral Immu	ne Pathology Diagnostics).	
17			
18	Dr. Mikovit	s attract government research money	
19	46.	As Dr. Mikovits's work progressed in the laboratory, links were	
20	anticipated l	between XMRV and other neuroimmune diseases such as fibromyalgia,	
21	chronic Lym	e disease, atypical multiple sclerosis and autism spectrum disorder.	
22	47.	Under Dr. Mikovits's direction, WPI grew from a small foundation to an	
23	internationa	lly recognized center for the study of neuroimmune diseases.	
24	48.	As a result of the intense attention that Dr. Mikovits's lab was receiving,	
25	Dr. Mikovits	began to attract federal funds from the National Institutes of Health (NIH)	
26	and the United States Department of Defense.		
27			

1	49.	The Department of Defense was interested in the implications of the
2	research for	Culf War Syndrome

4 Dr. Mikovits learn of contamination of her research

- 5 50. In the late summer of 2011, Dr. Mikovits discovered that there were
- 6 discrepancies in the previously published research on XMRV.
- 7 51. Dr. Mikovits had participated in several multi-center studies, including
- 8 one to determine the threat to the blood supply.
- 9 52. No one could detect XMRV, and Dr. Mikovits's colleague Bob Silverman of
- 10 the Cleveland Clinic identified contamination in samples from WPI.
- 11 53. Solverman began to doubt the scientific integrity of Dr. Mikovits's recently
- 12 published work.
- 13 54. Dr. Mikovits learned from Silverman that contaminated samples were sent
- 14 by Dr. Mikovits's postdoctoral fellow, Vincent C. Lombardi in late March of 2009.
- 15 55. This occurred without Dr. Mikovits's knowledge and against strict orders.
- 16 56. Dr. Mikovits also discovered Lombardi had done little to no work in at
- 17 least a year on NIH grants for which he was being paid 50% of his salary.
- 18 57. The other 50% of his salary was for his work with VIPDx, the for-profit
- 19 company selling the XMRV diagnostic test.

20

21 Lombardi uses grant-funded materials for profit

- 22 58. Lombardi took reagents from Dr. Mikovits's lab that were paid for by the
- 23 grant.
- 24 59. Lombardi used grant-funded reagents in his for-profit work at VIPDx.
- 25 60. Lombardi worked in the VIPDx building several miles away from the WPI
- 26 research center, and claimed that he conducted his grant-related work at the VIPDx
- 27 building for convenience.

- 1 61. Dr. Mikovits asked Lombardi repeatedly for his data, which he refused to
- 2 provide.
- 3 62. Dr. Mikovits ultimately determined he had made up the data he provided
- 4 Dr. Mikovits.
- 5 63. No one else at the institute was able to replicate Lombardi's data.
- 6 64. In an email on July 27, 2014, Dr. Mikovits alerted Lombardi to theft of Dr.
- 7 Mikovits's materials.
- 8 65. In that email, Dr. Mikovits accused Lombardi and another employee
- 9 named Svetlana of misappropriating materials.

11 Dr. Mikovits confronts Lombardi and the Whittemores about data problems

- 12 66. When Dr. Mikovits approached Lombardi, he became instantly defensive,
- 13 citing proprietary information belonging to VIPDx.
- 14 67. Dr. Mikovits then went directly to Harvey Whittemore, telling him all
- 15 work and diagnostic testing must stop and collaborators must be notified immediately
- 16 of the potential problems with the original data.
- 17 68. Dr. Mikovits emailed Harvey Whittemore and Annette Whittemore on or
- 18 about July 8, 2011 and demanded that Lombardi's access to the research lab be
- 19 immediately suspended.
- 20 69. Harvey Whittemore took over management of Lombardi and told Dr.
- 21 Mikovits not to divulge any information to anyone until he permitted it.
- 22 70. Dr. Mikovits refused that order and told her colleague Dr. Ruscetti
- 23 immediately.
- 24 71. Dr. Mikovits told the Whittemores to stop funding Lombardi or any
- 25 VIPDx staff from NIH grants.
- 26 72. Dr. Mikovits demanded several times in July and August to see all the
- 27 accounting on the annual progress report for the grants.

1	Dr.	Mikor	vits is	termin	iated
---	-----	-------	---------	--------	-------

- 2 73. On August 1, 2011, when the data for the last phase of the blood working
- 3 group was unblinded, it revealed that the tests being done were not valid.
- 4 74. Dr. Mikovits told Harvey Whittemore and Annette Whittemore to stop the
- 5 testing at VIPDx.
- 6 75. Annette Whittemore instructed Dr. Mikovits by email to change the data
- 7 in order to preserve the project and the thousands of tests done in the past two years.
- 8 76. Mike Busch, head of the blood working group, came to Reno to vouch for
- 9 Dr. Mikovits's integrity and tour the labs.
- 10 77. Busch wrote a letter to Annette Whittemore saying he was "disturbed by
- 11 what he saw in the clinical lab."
- 12 78. Harvey Whittemore and Annette Whittemore threatened Dr. Mikovits and
- 13 her research assistant and student Max Pfost and Frank Ruscetti if Dr. Mikovits co-
- 14 authored a paper showing the flaws of the VIPDx diagnostic test for XMRV.
- 15 79. The paper was published on September 23, 2011 with Pfost and Dr.
- 16 Mikovits as co-authors.
- 17 80. Despite being asked to do so by Annette Whittemore and Harvey
- 18 Whittemore, Dr. Mikovits refused to permit Lombardi access to reagents and resources
- 19 purchased for the NIH grant.
- 20 81. Dr. Mikovits was fired on Thursday September 29th, 2011 for "insolence
- 21 and insubordination."

- 23 Dr. Mikovits's lab is locked down
- 24 82. Within an hour of Dr. Mikovits's termination, her labs—accessed by key
- 25 card—were locked down by the University of Nevada.
- 26 83. Lombardi texted Pfost and Dr. Mikovits's staff and said there had been a
- 27 shake up and no one was to enter the lab.

- 1 84. Annette and Harvey Whittemore were in Washington, DC.
- 2 85. Annette Whittemore sent an email to the staff giving them a week off with
- 3 pay.
- 4 86. The lab and Dr. Mikovits's office were cleaned out in that time period.
- 5 87. Dr. Mikovits left that day with nothing in her hands and all data locked in
- 6 the labs and office.
- 7 88. The keys to Dr. Mikovits's office were locked in her lab.
- 8 89. Dr. Mikovits had her keycard with her, but could not access it or her office
- 9 after 6pm on September 29th, 2011.

11 Dr. Mikovits is accused of theft

- 90. On November 2, 2011, Dr. Mikovits was accused of stealing a laptop and
- 13 19 laboratory notebooks, which were all Dr. Mikovits's property.
- 14 91. Dr. Mikovits refused to sign a document sent to her home in late October
- 15 telling Dr. Mikovits to return these items to WPI, along with any copies of any data.
- 16 92. Dr. Mikovits had no idea where they were.
- 93. Dr. Mikovits also assert that they were her own intellectual property and
- 18 her personal property, as Dr. Mikovits had purchased all notebooks, flashdrives and
- 19 personal computers.
- 20 94. The laboratory notebooks represented the totality of Dr. Mikovits's work,
- 21 including that done prior to her work with WPI.

22

23 WPI files suit against Dr. Mikovits

- 24 95. On November 4, 2011, WPI filed a lawsuit against Dr. Mikovits.
- 25 96. In that suit they alleged breach of contract, trade secret misappropriation,
- 26 conversion, breach of implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, and sought
- 27 specific performance and replevin against Dr. Mikovits.

- 1 97. On November 7, 2011, WPI filed a motion for a temporary restraining
- 2 order seeking the return of the computer and all copies of the Dr. Mikovits's lab
- 3 notebooks.
- 4 98. The judge entered the TRO against Dr. Mikovits.
- 5 99. On November 9, 2011, service was made of the complaint and TRO.
- 6 100. Dr. Mikovits was not home because she was away on a boating trip.
- 7 101. Dr. Mikovits returned to her home on November 13, 2011 to find the
- 8 summons and complaint on the porch of Dr. Mikovits's house.
- 9 102. On November 14, 2011, Dr. Mikovits contacted and hired an attorney.

11 Dr. Mikovits is put in jail without bail

- 103. On November 18, 2011, while on Dr. her way to meet with her new
- 13 attorney, Dr. Mikovits was arrested at her home at 1:00 PM by California and University
- 14 of Nevada campus police.
- 15 104. Dr. Mikovits was taken to the Ventura County Jail where she was held
- 16 until November 22, 2011.
- 17 105. Because Dr. Mikovits was considered a "fugitive from justice" based on
- 18 Dr. Mikovits's going to longtime home in California, there was a bail hold placed upon
- 19 her.

10

- 20 106. The bail bondsman reported to Dr. Mikovits's attorney that he had never
- 21 seen anything like this happen before.
- 22 107. Dr. Mikovits's attorneys filed an opposition to the motion for preliminary
- 23 injunction asserting that Dr. Mikovits did not have possession or control of any
- 24 misappropriated property.
- 26 Dr. Mikovits misses a hearing while in jail

25

The judge struck Dr. Mikovits's answer entirely, and granted default

1

118.

2	judgment ag	gainst Dr. Mikovits.
3		
4	The default	judgment leads to Dr. Mikovits's bankruptcy
5	119.	On January 24, 2012, the judge entered the default judgment, stating that
6	he was doin	g so for willful and wanton disregard of the orders of the court in a manner
7	which flaun	ts and otherwise mocks and ignores the essential discovery of the very
8	information	which is the subject of this lawsuit.
9	120.	The judge issued a permanent injunction and scheduled a damages
10	hearing for J	anuary 25, 2012.
11	121.	That default judgment directly resulted in Dr. Mikovits's bankruptcy.
12		
13	Dr. Mikovit	s liquidates her assets
14	122.	Pursuant to Dr. Mikovits's bankruptcy filing, WPI made a claim that Dr.
15	Mikovits ow	red WPI \$5.5 million.
16	123.	Dr. Mikovits has been forced to liquidate all of her property and to turn
17	over the pro	ceeds to WPI, by order of the US Bankruptcy Court.
18	124.	Dr. Mikovits represented herself pro se in an effort to get a hearing, but
19	was denied.	
20	125.	Because of the actions of Harvey Whittemore, Annette Whittemore, and
21	WPI, Dr. Mil	covits now has lost all of her career's research materials, all of her
22	accumulated	property and assets, and has been maligned in the press such that she can
23	no longer fin	d employment.
24		
25	Federal Fal	Count I lse Claims Act Claim Pursuant to 31 U.S.C. § 3730(h) Retaliation Against
26		Mikovits for Engaging in Protected Acts
27		

- 1 126. Plaintiff reasserts and incorporates by reference all paragraphs set forth
- 2 above as if restated herein.
- 3 127. As set forth above, and in connection with the foregoing scheme, Dr.
- 4 Mikovits reasonably believed defendant WPI submitted, or caused to be submitted,
- 5 false claims for payment by the United States in violation of the FCA.
- 6 128. Dr. Mikovits engaged in activity protected under the FCA by engaging in
- 7 lawful acts in the furtherance of a qui tam action under the FCA and other efforts to stop
- 8 Defendants' violation of the FCA.
- 9 129. During her employment, Dr. Mikovits reported activity that she
- 10 reasonably believed evidenced violations of the rules applicable to the institute's
- 11 federally grants.
- 12 130. Dr. Mikovits also repeatedly urged the Whittemores to cease funding
- 13 Vincent Lombardi, who Dr. Mikovits reasonably believed was stealing federally funded
- 14 research materials for use at VIPDx, a for-profit entity.
- 15 131. Mikovits also participated in the publication of a paper that demonstrated
- 16 the Whittemores' for-profit arm, VIPDx, was selling diagnostic tests for a virus which
- 17 did not exist in humans.
- 18 132. Dr. Mikovits's protected activity motivated, at least in part, WPI's decision
- **19** to terminate her.
- 20 133. Following Dr. Mikovits's termination, WPI and the Whittemores
- 21 continued to take adverse actions against her by pressing criminal charges, resulting in
- 22 the arrest and jailing of Dr. Mikovits.
- 23 134. The Whittemores and WPI further took adverse action against Mikovits
- 24 following her termination by filing and prosecuting a civil case against Mikovits, which
- 25 directly led to Dr. Mikovits filing for bankruptcy.

1	135. The Whittemores and WPI further took adverse action against Mikovits by
2	·
3	filing a \$5.5 million claim against Whittemore in bankruptcy proceedings, forcing
	Mikovits to liquidate the entirety of her assets.
4	136. To redress the harms he has suffered as a result of the acts and conduct of
5	WPI in violations of 31 U.S.C. § 3730(h), Dr. Mikovits is entitled to damages including
6	two times the amount of back pay, interest on back pay, and any other damages
7	available by law including litigation costs and reasonable attorneys' fees.
8	
9	PRAYER FOR RELIEF
10	137. WHEREFORE, the plaintiff Dr. Judy Mikovits prays that judgment be
11	entered against Defendants for violation of the False Claims Act as follows:
12	138. In favor of the Relator for the maximum amount pursuant to 31 U.S.C. §
13	3730(h) to include two times the amount of back pay, interest on back pay, reasonable
14	expenses, attorney's fees, and costs incurred by the Relator;
15	139. For all costs of the False Claims Act civil action; and
16	140. In favor of the Relator and the United States for further relief as this court
17	deems just and equitable.
18	Respectfully Submitted,
19	respectivity Submitted,
20	La Alik (D
21	July Montan
22	Dr. Judy Mikovits, Ph.D, prd se
23	One version of this complaint prepared by
24	David Scher
25	The Employment Law Group, P.C.
26	888 17th Street, NW, 9th Floor Washington, D.C. 20006
27	(202) 261-2803

Case 3:15-cv-00409-RCJ Document 1 Filed 11/24/14 Page 14 of 14

1	(202) 261-2835 (facsimile)
2	dscher@employmentlawgroup.com
3	soswald@employmentlawgroup.com
4	
5	
6	
7	JURY DEMAND
8	Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Mikovits hereby
9	demands a jury trial.
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
۱7	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
7	